# DIVISION OF WATER QUALITY GROUNDWATER SECTION, WSRO

## July 1, 1997

# **MEMORANDUM**

TO:

A. Preston Howard, Jr., Director

THROUGH: Sherri Knight, Regional Groundwater Supervisor, WSRO

Arthur Mouberry, Section Chief

FROM:

Melanie Ross, Environmental Protection Consultant

- Guikard Co.

SUBJECT:

NCAC 2L .0106(l) Corrective Action Plan

Yarboro Property, Melvin 2205 Oak Hill Drive

Greensboro, Guilford County Groundwater Incident #10017

Site Priority Ranking

\* Continued eligibility approved (see attached)

#### I. Site History

The Melvin Yarboro property is located in a residential area of Greensboro, Guilford County. A 550-gallon non-commercial heating oil UST was removed from the property on February 24, 1993. Soil samples collected at removal from the final excavation indicated petroleum constituent contamination. A groundwater sample collected from the excavation pit confirmed dissolved hydrocarbon contamination at the site.

#### II. **Incident Data**

Setting: The site is located in a residential-suburban area of Greensboro, Guilford 1. A. County, North Carolina. The immediate vicinity is residential.

В. Pollutant: Dissolved hydrocarbon compounds:

2/24/97 MW-1, MW-5 1,2-Dichlorobenzene 10 ppb. 4 pr 2/24/97 MW-1, MW-5 1,3-Dichlorobenzene 4 ppb, 3 ppb 2/24/97 MW-1, MW-5 1,4-Dichlorobenzene 12 ppb, 19 ppl 2/24/97 MW-5 2-Ethylhexyl Phthalate 24.1 ppb

Source: Former heating oil UST C.

Discovery Date: at removal of UST on February 24, 1993. UST reportedly taken D. out of service in 1980.

E. Initial Corrective Action: Excavated UST.

### III. Notification Date

A. A Notice of Violation of NCAC Title 15A 2L was issued to Mr. Marvin Yarboro on September 2, 1994.

#### IV. Contamination

- A. Soil Contamination: Approximately 250 tons of contaminated soil remain in place in the former tank basin vicinity. Maximum TPH concentrations of 1,450 ppm (3550) and 145 ppm (5030) are reported in soils.
- B. Groundwater plume areal extent reported approximately 20 ft x 10 ft.
  - 1. Shallow Aquifer: See section II.1.B. for contaminants and concentrations; MW-1 and MW-5 are installed in source vicinity. Four other monitor wells are located on-site.
  - 2. Bedrock contamination: None noted.

# V. Proposed Corrective Action System

- A. Type System Proposed: .0106(L), groundwater natural attenuation, with excavation of contaminated soils as control of secondary source.
- B. Pilot Test Results: no pilot tests have been conducted.
- C. System Adequacy
  - 1. Suitable, based on soil Type: NA
  - 2. Suitable for Pollutant Type: Concentration of constituents will decrease through advection, dispersion, and diffusion processes.
  - 3. Suitable, based Site Hydrogeology: The reported linear groundwater flow in saprolite is approximately 26.34 ft/yr.
- D. Effluent Discharge Point & Volume (gpm): NA
- E. Required Permit: NA

## VI. Geology

- A. Surficial Materials: Saprolite
- B. Bedrock (age): Gneiss of unknown age
- C. Soil Type: Silty clay.

## VII. Hydrogeology

- A. Hydraulic Conductivity: 2.57 · 10<sup>-4</sup> ft/min in saprolite
- B. Groundwater Flow Direction: east
- C. Hydraulic Gradient: 0.039
- D. Groundwater Flow Velocity: 26.34 ft/yr in saprolite
- E. Monitor Wells
  - 1. Shallow: Six Type II, 2-inch diameter PVC screened in the saprolite aquifer.
  - 2. Deep: No deep wells have been installed.

- 3. Placement of monitor wells: Adequate to track the plume migration.
- F. Hydrogeologic Tests Conducted: one slug test

## VIII. Potential Receptors

Marie Constant

- A. Public Water Supply Wells. The site is supplied municipal water by the City of Greensboro. No private or public water supply wells were found during a reconnaissance of a 1,500 feet radius of the site.
- B. Private Water Supply Wells: None
- C. Surface Water Bodies: A tributary of Buffalo Lake is located approximately 1,500 east of the site.
- D. Utilities: No utilities have been identified at the site.

## IX. Administrative Requirements

- A. Sealed by L.G.
- B. Form GW-100 included with an attachment.
- C. Notification requirements met per 15A NCAC 2L .0114 with no comments.
- E. Implementation Schedule included.
- F. Monitoring Plan enclosed and found adequate.

### X. Recommendation Criteria

The CAP met all requirements listed in 15A NCAC 2L .0106 (l).

- A. All primary source of contamination have reportedly been removed.
- B. All secondary sources of contamination are proposed to be removed in CAP
- C. Free product was not detected.
- D. The contaminant levels are low and the extent of contaminant plume is minimal.
- E. The time and direction of contaminated travel can be predicted with reasonable certainty.
- F. The contaminant migration will not result in any violation of applicable groundwater standards at any existing or foreseeable receptor.
- G. The nearest receptor is 1,500 feet down-gradient. The groundwater velocity is 26.34 ft/yr.
- H. The contaminant plume is not expected to intercept surface waters.

- I. A monitoring well network is in place as a part of this CAP to detect contaminants at least one year travel up-gradient of any potential receptors and no greater than the distance the groundwater at the contaminated site is predicted to travel in five years.
- J. The proposed Corrective Action Plan appears to be consistent with all other environmental laws.
- K. The site ranking score is 50/E.
- L. Public Notice was provided in accordance with 15A NCAC 2L .0114(b) with no comments received.
- M. WSRO recommends approval of the CAP.